

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/653,416 | 08/31/2000 | Kenji Tagawa | 2000 1199A | 4661 | |
| 7590 04/28/2005 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Wenderoth Lind & Ponack LLP Suite 800 | | | LAFORGIA, CHRISTIAN A | | |
| 2033 K Street 1 | W | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Washington, DC 20006 | | | 2131 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 04/28/200 | ۲ | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|-------------------------|---------------------|
| CONTROL NO. | | PATENT IN REEXAMINATION | |

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER

1

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---------------------|---------------|--|--|
| 09/653,416 | TAGAWA ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Christian La Forgia | 2131 | | |

| | Christian La Forgia | 2131 | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------------------------|-------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 07 April 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: | | | | | | | | |
| | a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| b) The period for repty expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. | | | | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). | | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). | | | | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for | | | | | | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)) | | ampliant Amandman | + (DTOL 224) | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1. | • | ompliant Amendinen | (FTOL-324). | | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling | | | | | | | | |
| the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | ☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wovided below or appended. | vill be entered and an | explanation of | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13</u> . | | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | A A | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | nd sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence | is necessary | | | | | |
| 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa | overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe | eal and/or appellant fa | ails to provide a | | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | | | | |
| 13. Other: | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to Applicant's arguments that Hurtado does not disclose recording usage rules corresponding to the content, the Examiner disagrees. The Applicant admits on page 5 of the office action that Hurtado discloses a technique for monitoring the usage and distribution of the digital content by embedding digital code, such as a digital watermark in every copy of the content. This watermark defines the allowable number of secondary copies a user can make. Hurtado also discloses transmitting usage conditions along with the content in column 21, lines 18-32. The Examiner believes this to meet the claim limitations of transmitting the content along with usage rules to the medium. The Applicant is reminded that the claims are given their broadest reasonable interpretation during examination, and in this case usage rules reads on the teachings of Hurtado. See MPEP 2111-2116.01, see also In re Morris, 127 F.3d 1048, 44 USPQ2d 1023 (Fed. Circ. 1997).

AYAZ SHEIKH

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100